



## **Regulation 22**

---

### **The Trainee Academic Prize**

22.1. This regulation covers the two trainee academic prizes presented within the Trainee Academic Session held at the Annual Scientific Meeting (ASM):

22.1.1. Trainee Research Prize

22.1.2. Trainee Quality Improvement Prize

*Note: It is intended that this prize session has six authors selected to present with three selected for each prize.*

22.2. The Trainee Research Prize shall be awarded to the trainee or fellow, within one (1) year of award of the Diploma of Fellowship who is judged to make the best contribution at the Trainee Academic Session – Trainee Research Prize - held as part of the Annual Scientific Meeting (ASM). This Session will only be open to trainees or fellows to present material related to their research whilst a trainee.

22.3. The Trainee Quality Improvement prize shall be awarded to the Trainee or Fellow, within one (1) year of award of the Diploma of Fellowship who is judged to make the best contribution at the Trainee Academic Session – Trainee Quality Improvement Prize - held as part of the Annual Scientific Meeting (ASM). This session will only be open to trainees or fellows to present material on the importance of quality improvement activities and to recognise exceptional work in either:

22.3.1. Critical appraisal of topic

22.3.2. Audit

22.3.3. Clinical Practice Improvement Project

22.4. Both prizes will take the form of a medal, and be accompanied by a certificate recognising the achievement.

22.4.1. Prospective authors must meet the eligibility criteria by 12 midnight (AEDT) on 1 March in the year of the ASM.

22.5. The Chair of the Scholar Role Subcommittee or nominee shall chair the Trainee Academic Session and appoint three adjudicators to judge for both Prizes, one of whom may be the Chair of the Scholar Role Subcommittee. The Adjudication Panel shall be chaired by the Chair of Scholar Role

Subcommittee or his/her nominee. When appointing the Adjudication Panel, conflicts of interest must be identified and managed according to ANZCA Policy.

- 22.6. The development of adjudication criteria (including content) for the two prizes is the responsibility of the Scholar Role Subcommittee.
- 22.7. Acceptable submissions by trainees include papers which relate to audits, an original research project or systematic review to which the trainee has made a significant contribution, or descriptions of educational or administrative innovations that might be part of coursework or a major project / minor thesis.
- 22.8. The presentations selected for the Trainee Academic Session will be on the basis of the submitted abstracts. Presentations will take the form of a ten minute paper which must be based on the work undertaken while a trainee. Performance during five minutes of discussion will form part of the adjudication criteria.
  - 22.8.1. The ASM Scientific Convenor will select the presentations for the Trainee Research Prize
  - 22.8.2. The Scholar role subcommittee will select the presentations for the Trainee Quality Improvement Prize
- 22.9. Entries from unsuccessful applicants will be considered for inclusion at the ASM as ePoster submissions, and those applicants will be eligible for the Trainee ePoster Prize Session.
- 22.10. If, in the opinion of the adjudicators, no presentation attains a sufficiently high standard, the Trainee Academic Prizes (Trainee Research Prize and Trainee Quality Improvement Prize) will not be awarded
  - 22.10.1. Consideration will be given to exceptional circumstances whereby global and or national issues (e.g. pandemic) severely impact, disrupt and constrain a fellow's ability and opportunity to conduct clinical and research activities. In some cases these exceptional circumstances may result in the Trainee Academic Prizes (Trainee Research Prize and Trainee Quality Improvement Prize) being held over and not awarded in a specific year if applications are not of the expected quality.